

From: [Ortiz, Diana](#)
To: [Lane, Leticia](#); [Langley, Shirley](#); [R6 6SF FOIA Info](#); [R6 6SF FOIA Team](#)
Cc: [Moran, Gloria](#); [Capuyan, Stephen](#)
Subject: LETICIA PLEASE ASSIST: Submitting Three New FOIA for Mr. Grisham
Date: Wednesday, June 24, 2015 2:26:18 PM

Leticia,

After further discussion it was decided that we would go ahead and submit three new FOIA request for Mr. Grisham, based on the attached e-mail. In the e-mail Mr. Grisham asked specific questions which we will be addressing as best we can.

FOIA # 1 - Could EPA Region 6 Superfund summarize Arkwood's status in a one- or two-page précis that includes (but is not necessarily limited to) EPA's current position on the site with regard to: a) its prospects for future industrial use; b) the major steps planned to help realize those prospects or to rule them out, with timeline; c) the risk the site poses to human health and the environment; and d) remaining milestones that must be achieved before the site can be proposed for deletion from NPL, with timeline?

FOIA # 2 - Sometime between September 17, 2013 and September 30, 2013, EPA Region 6 Congressional Liaison LaWanda Thomas provided responses to U.S. Congressman Steve Womack's Projects Director Kyle Weaver's inquiry (please see attached) which included the following statement:

"Arkwood can return to productive use at any time, provided that the remedy is not compromised. The remedy that cannot be compromised consists of addressing the soil and groundwater to numerical cleanup goals as specified in the 1990 Record of Decision (and to be updated with the dioxin re-evaluation) and institutional controls."

Could EPA please clarify and expand upon this statement?

FOIA # 3 - On October 28, 2013 U.S. Congressman Steve Womack's Projects Director Kyle Weaver wrote to me (please see attached):

"Congressman Womack's office noted to the EPA that this is an ongoing issue despite nearly 25 years working on it. Our office was assured that EPA is making an effort to expedite clean-up to reach the point of deletion from the NPL. Their response to our inquiry specifically said, 'This site is one of the earliest sites nationwide going through dioxin re-evaluation. EPA will work closely with the landowner, McKesson Corporation, and ADEQ to expedite the cleanup process.'"

Can EPA confirm that the above assessment was an accurate characterization of EPA's intent with regard to Arkwood at the time of Mr. Weaver's writing? If so, has that intent changed since the time of Mr. Weaver's writing? Could EPA please reiterate and update its current position with regard to Mr. Weaver's questions and concerns contained in the full text of the Congressional inquiry and with reference to EPA responses from Ms. Thomas, as attached hereto?

Thank you.

Diana Ortiz
Superfund FOIA Coordinator
Information Management Team (6SF-VI)
(214) 665-7315



9600058